

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/403,437	12/20/1999	ISA ODIDI	10914-11	7273
75	590 06/04/2003	•		
DINSMORE & SHOHL			EXAMINER	
255 EAST FIFT 1900 CHEMED	CENTER		PULLIAM	, AMY E
CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	29
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	~/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/833,622	ISHII ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Amy E Pulliam	1615			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 March 2003 .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-34 is/are pending in the	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-34</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)  Office	e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 29			

Application/Control Number: 09/823,622

Art Unit: 1615

RUB 5/28/04

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Receipt of Papers

Receipt is acknowledged of the Request for Continued Examination, received by the Office March 18, 2003.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, and 5-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,000,962 to Sangekar *et al.*, in view of US 5,162,117 to Stupak *et al.* and further in view of US Patent 6,083,532 to Zhang *et al.*.

Sangekar *et al.* teach a long acting formulation which comprises a swellable polymer. More specifically, Sangekar *et al.* teach that examples of swellable hydrophilic polymers include HPMC, HPC, HMC, HEC, and HPC (c 2, 157-61). Furthermore, Sangekar *et al.* teach the presence of a binder in the composition to combine with the swellable hydrophilic polymer, such as ethylcellulose (c 3, 151-55). The reference also teaches that the binder be present at between 2-6% of the weight of the composition (c 3, 158). The reference also teaches the inclusion of additional excipients, such as diluents (spray dried lactose) and lubricants (c 3, 141-50).

Application/Control Number: 09/833,622

Art Unit: 1615

Therefore, the teachings of Sangekar *et al.* teach the use of polymers, such as HPMC and HEC, in combination with EC, for the creation of a long acting pharmaceutical formulation.

Sangekar *et al.* do not specifically teach how long the formulation will release. However, the reference does teach that the formulation is suitable for once daily or twice daily administration. This implies that the dosage form releases for either 12 or 24 hours, before a new dosage form is necessary (c 2, 132).

Sangekar et al. do not specifically teach the use of HPMC and HEC together, in combination with EC.



Zhang et al. disclose a sustained release drug formulation. More specifically, Zhang et al. disclose a tablet for sustained release of a drug comprising an effective amount of a drug to be released at a controlled rate and a sustained release formulation comprising different types of polymers, wherein the pH independent gelling polymer comprises at least one of a hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose, a hydroxypropyl ethyl cellulose, a hydroxypropyl cellulose, a hydroxypropyl cellulose... (columns 4-5, claim 2). This disclosure suggests that hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose and hydroxyethyl cellulose are known to be used in tablet formulations, in combination.

Sangekar et al. do not teach the specific additives and excipient as claimed by applicant.

Stupak et al. is relied upon for the teaching that applicant's claimed excipients are all very well known in the pharmaceutical art, and therefore would have been obvious to include in any pharmaceutical formulation, especially one which has the same function of controlled release. Stupak et al. disclose a controlled release solid dosage tablet. More specifically, Stupak et al. teach that the tablet core of their invention can include excipients including diluents such as

Application/Control Number: 09/833,622

Art Unit: 1615

microcrystalline cellulose, lubricants, glidants such as silicon dioxide, as well as sodium lauryl sulfate and lactose (c 2-3). Additionally, Stupak et al. teach that their composition can have a coating, which can be a methacrylic acid copolymer coating (c 5, claim 5). Again, the Stupak reference is relied upon to show that applicant's claimed excipients are all known in the art of pharmaceutical formulations, and therefore would be obvious to include in a tablet formulation.

It is the position of the examiner that the main component of applicant's invention is the mixture of polymers in the core of the composition, which is suggested by the above combination of Sangekar et al. in view of Zhang et al. Sangekar et al. teach the use of swellable polymers, such as HEC and HPMC, in combination with EC as a binder. Zhang et al. teach that HEC, HPMC, and other similar polymers are known to be used in combination with one another. One skilled in the art would recognize that each of these polymers, and their interactions and equivalences, are well known in the art. Further, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of Sangekar et al. and Zhang et al. with Stupak et al., and use any of the well known pharmaceutical excipients described by Stupak et al. in the composition suggested by Sangekar et al. in view of Zhang et al.. The expected result would be a successful controlled release pharmaceutical composition. Therefore, this invention as a whole would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amy E Pulliam whose telephone number is 703-308-4710. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-5:00, Alternate Fri 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/833,622

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3592 for regular communications and 703-305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

A. E. Pulliam Patent Examiner Art Unit 1615 May 28, 2003

THURMAN/K. PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600